



## *Arizona Peace Officer Standards and Training Board*

2643 East University Drive Phoenix, Arizona 85034-6914 Phone (602) 223-2514 Fax (602) 244-0477

### **MINUTES OF THE APRIL 15, 2020 MEETING OF THE ARIZONA PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING BOARD PHOENIX, ARIZONA**

A public meeting of the Arizona Peace Officer Standards and Training Board was convened on April 15, 2020, at the Arizona Peace Officer Standards and Training Board, located at 2643 E. University Drive, Phoenix, AZ.

#### Members Present (in person):

Sheriff Scott Mascher, Yavapai County Sheriff's Office, Chairman  
Chief Tom Kelly, Apache Junction Police Department  
Deputy Christopher Pittmann, Maricopa County Sheriff's Office  
Chief Alan Rodbell, Scottsdale Police Department

#### Members Present (via online video/telephone conferencing):

Assistant Attorney General Paul Ahler, representing Attorney General Mark Brnovich  
Captain Don Bischoff, Jail Commander, Mohave County Sheriff's Office  
Sheriff Mark Dannels, Cochise County Sheriff's Office  
Ms. Jamie Kelly, Public Member  
Mr. Andrew LeFevre, Faculty Member, Grand Canyon University  
Officer Matthew Medina, Prescott Police Department  
Deputy Director Joe Profiri, AZ Department of Corrections  
Colonel Heston Silbert, AZ Department of Public Safety  
Ms. Leesa B. Weisz, Public Member

#### Staff in Attendance (in person):

Matt Giordano, Executive Director  
Ben Henry, Deputy Director  
Dan Ciernia, Digital Media Supervisor  
Marie Dryer, Compliance Program Administrator  
Kip Rustenburg, Training Program Administrator  
Sandy Sierra, Executive Assistant  
Dale Wyman, Digital Media Specialist

#### Staff in Attendance (via online video conferencing):

William Caldwell, Compliance Specialist (via online video conferencing)  
Amanda Faust, Compliance Specialist (via online video conferencing)  
Arlene Heckel, Compliance Specialist (via online video conferencing)  
Steve Jacobs, Compliance Specialist (via online video conferencing)

David Toporek, Compliance Specialist (via online video conferencing)  
Lori Wait, Compliance Specialist (via online video conferencing)

Assistant Attorney General:  
Mark Brachtl

A. Call to Order

Chairman Mascher called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m. and asked Chief Rodbell to lead everyone in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance.

B. Introductions, Presentations and Announcements

*Matt Giordano, Executive Director*

- All Board members are present today; four are here in person and nine are participating via telephone/video conferencing.
- Steven Kane and Theodore Shaw, White Mountain Apache Tribal Police Department, have completed their required annual training. Their names can be removed from the training deficiencies list on the Consent Agenda.
- New Charging Case #7, 19-110 Pedro Aguila-Muniz, will be tabled.
- Agenda Item C-1 will be taken out of order and will be heard after the four petitions for waiver of AZPOST rules.

C. Executive Director's Report

*Matt Giordano, Executive Director*

- Prior to recessing, the legislature passed a base-line budget; one-time appropriated funding was removed from the budget. Due to this, our 20/21 fiscal year budget will be down 1.2 million dollars. We are also closely tracking CJEF funds and we expect to see a fairly significant decline in these funds in the next few months.
- We stay in constant contact with the 14 statewide academies. Everyone is doing things a little bit different right now. As of today, no academies have shut down. We did have one academy postpone their start date, this is for a small academy class. The other academies are all operating in various forms with some doing smaller groups and some doing remote learning. As of right now, we have not seen a decline in classes.
- At the end of last year and the beginning of this year, we upgraded our technology. All staff were given a laptop and docking station that allows for remote access to their desktop. If we had not done that a few months ago, we would not be able to do what we are doing now. Most of the staff are working from home. There are 4-5 staff members that come in daily, but most are teleworking and doing everything that we normally do. There has been no service interruption. I would like to thank Chief Technology Officer Dan Wilson and Computer Specialist Hop Bui for their hard work in making this possible.
- We have moved to a digital platform for Comprehensive Final Exam (CFE) testing; we are no longer using scantron sheets, which is very exciting. We did our first demo test last month at the MCSO Academy and it went off without a hitch. Staff stated that the time to administer this test was cut by 95 percent. It was scored immediately, all the candidates were ranked and there were no errors. It worked really, really well. From this point forward, the CFE testing will be done on this electronic platform. All the academies have

the technology available to do this and we are very excited about it. The new platform was very well received by the academy and the students themselves. Our next venture is to do this with waiver testing. When an individual comes from out of state or their certification has lapsed and they want to return to the profession, they must take and pass the waiver test. We are looking at administering waiver testing with this new electronic platform.

Mr. LeFevre commented that ACJC is in the process of applying for the CESF Supplemental Funding Program that is part of the CARES Act for law enforcement and first responders. When the funding is secured, they will begin a grant process to make the funds available. He wants to make sure the information is provided to the academies for their potential needs.

C.1 Review, Discussion and Possible Action on an Update on Potential Rule Changes regarding Pre-Employment Drug Screening Standards

*This agenda item was taken out of order.*

Executive Director Giordano stated pre-employment drug screening standards is something that is of constant discussion when he travels around the state talking to chiefs, sheriffs and agency heads. AZPOST worked with the School of Criminology and Criminal Justice at ASU and developed a survey to determine pre-employment drug use standards for peace officer applicants. The survey was administered to all Arizona law enforcement agency heads. A total of 98 agency heads responded to the survey; this is slightly more than a 60% response rate. Even though we did not get the consensus we hoped for, we did get a really good idea of what everybody around the state wants. AZPOST also met with background investigators, academy directors and agency hiring managers to get their perspective and ask what they would like to see change and what they think are reasonable hiring standards. Being presented today are the current drug disqualifications and three proposals for each disqualification. Proposal #1 would offer no change; Proposal #2 would offer some slight changes and Proposal #3 would offer the same changes as Proposal #2 but with timeframes that are slightly more stringent.

Disqualification #1 - Marijuana.

Rule R13-4-105(A.10)(A.11)(A.12)(B1)

The current disqualification for the use of marijuana is no use in the past 3 years, exceeds a total of 20 times or exceeds 5 times since the age of 21 years. Never as a sworn officer. **Proposal #1** is no change to the current disqualification. **Proposal #2** eliminates the number of uses, so 20 would no longer be a disqualifying number. Not have used for a period of 1 year prior to submitting application. All marijuana use, regardless of the manner it was ingested, will be treated equally. THC extracts, cannabis, hashish, or marijuana extracts as defined in A.R.S. §13-3401.4(a) and §13-3401.4(b) shall be classified as marijuana for the purpose of AZPOST. Never as a sworn officer. **Proposal #3** is the same as #2, but increases the time since last usage to 2 years prior to submitting application. What we are doing is eliminating the number of uses for both.

Chief Rodbell expressed concern about the one year restriction. He feels there are too many unknowns concerning marijuana, also it still remains federally illegal. He prefers Proposal #3 which requires waiting at least two years prior to submitting application. He would also

prefer that the chief or sheriff had the discretion as to whether the amount of use, the number of times, the kind of use, and the circumstances of the use would make this person a good candidate or a bad candidate for selection. Colonel Silbert echoed Chief Rodbell's concerns and also feels that agencies should be able to set a higher standard if they so choose. A majority of the Board expressed concerns regarding the elimination of the number of uses as a disqualification. The current rule sets the usage as a total of 20 times in the past 3 years; most felt there should be a specific number of uses as a disqualification factor.

Executive Director Giordano explained to the Board that the 20 uses is a number that was arbitrarily chosen in the mid 90's when these qualifications were established. The proposals presented today were created based on the feedback that was received from around the state from people who day in and day out are trying to hire applicants; as well as the AZPOST staff who review these backgrounds. The background investigators and agency hiring managers from around the state have stressed they would like to eliminate the number of uses as a disqualifier. If the Board adopts these rule changes reference pre-employment drug usage, we would virtually eliminate drug petition waivers in the future. The rules will still allow an agency to file a petition with the Board, but our advice and our counsel will be that the Board has spoken very clearly and these are our rules and we are not going to deviate from minimum standards.

Chief Rodbell made a motion to accept Proposal #3 to not have used any marijuana, legally or illegally for a period of 2 years prior to submitting application for a sworn position. All marijuana use, regardless of the manner it was ingested, will be treated equally. THC extracts, cannabis, hashish, or marijuana extracts as defined in A.R.S. §13-3401.4(a) and §13-3401.4(b) shall be classified as marijuana for the purpose of AZPOST and never as a sworn officer. The motion was seconded by Sheriff Dannels and passed unanimously.

#### Disqualification #2 – Dangerous Drugs

Rule R13-4-105(A.14)(A.16)(B2)

The current disqualification for the use of dangerous drugs (Methamphetamine, Amphetamine, Speed) is no use within the past 7 years, more than 1 usage after the age of 21 years or more than 5 times since the age of 21 years. Spice/Bath Salts considered a Dangerous Drug after 2/2011. Never as a sworn officer. **Proposal #1** is no change to the current disqualification. **Proposal #2** establishes that the applicant has not used any Dangerous Drug for a period of 3 years prior to submitting application. There are no established limited number of uses since age of 21. Never as a sworn officer. **Proposal #3** establishes that the applicant has not used any Dangerous Drugs for a period of 5 years prior to submitting application. Cannot exceed 10 usages. No specification on age of consumption. Never as a sworn officer.

Chief Rodbell stated he will not be making any recommendations for changes from this point on; he feels there is a big difference between marijuana and dangerous drugs and feels no other rules should be changed. Chief Kelly agrees with Chief Rodbell, he does not think we need to change anything with the Dangerous Drugs disqualifications. The majority of the Board agreed with not changing the Dangerous Drugs disqualifications but also agreed that Adderall and Steroids/Human Growth Hormone should be categorized separately and not in the Dangerous Drugs category.

The Board felt this rule should be left as it is; no changes needed. Deputy Pittmann made a motion to not change the rule on Dangerous Drugs under R13-4-105(A.14)(A.16)(B.2). The motion was seconded by Chief Rodbell and passed unanimously.

**Disqualification #3 – Hallucinogens (Dangerous Drugs)** Rule R13-4-105(A.14)(A.16)(B2)  
The current disqualification for the use of Hallucinogens (Acid, Peyote, Mushrooms, Ecstasy, LSD, Ketamine, Mescaline, Salvia, PCP) is no use within the past 7 years, more than 1 usage after the age of 21 years or more than 5 times total. Never as a sworn officer. **Proposal #1** is no change to the current disqualification. **Proposal #2** establishes that the applicant has not used any Dangerous Drugs for a period of 3 years prior to submitting application. There are no established limited number of uses since age of 21. Never as a sworn officer. **Proposal #3** establishes that the applicant has not used any Dangerous Drugs for a period of 5 years prior to submitting application. Cannot exceed 10 usages. No specification on age of consumption. Never as a sworn officer.

The Board felt this rule should be left as it is; no changes needed. Chief Rodbell made a motion to not change the rule on Hallucinogens. The motion was seconded by Deputy Pittmann and passed unanimously.

**Disqualification #4 – Steroids (Dangerous Drugs)** Rule R13-4-105(A.14)(A.16)(B2)  
The current disqualification for the use of Steroids and Human Growth Hormone (between 1/1/89-1/1/94 was a prescription drug; after 1/1/94 was reclassified as a Dangerous Drug) is no unauthorized use within the past 7 years, more than 1 usage after the age of 21 years, or more than 5 times total. Never as a sworn officer. **Proposal #1** is no change to the current disqualification. **Proposal #2** establishes that the applicant has not used any Dangerous Drugs for a period of 3 years prior to submitting application. There are no established limited number of uses since age of 21. Never as a sworn officer. **Proposal #3** establishes that the applicant has not used any Dangerous Drugs for a period of 5 years prior to submitting application. Cannot exceed 10 usages. No specification on age of consumption. Never as a sworn officer.

Executive Director Giordano stated this category was going to be placed in the Dangerous Drug category, but it is clear the Board would like this as a separate category. We will go ahead and do that. Proposal #2 talks about no use for a 3 year period and no limited number of uses since the age of 21 and no maximum number of uses. Proposal #3 is 5 years since the last usage and also cannot exceed 10 usages; there is no specification on age of consumption. If the Board likes we could merge these two proposals to come up with a proposed change.

Colonel Silbert stated in order to apply this in a reasonable fashion, he would propose that Proposal #2 be examined rather closely. Agencies do get applicants who have been athletes and/or have recently come out of college. He believes we should be cautious about putting a number of accepted usages because of the manner in which cycles of steroids are taken.

Deputy Director Ben Henry provided clarification on how steroids were unclassified prior to 1989. Then in 1994, steroids were classified as a prescription drug and categorized into the Dangerous Drug category. Colonel Silbert is correct regarding steroids being taken in cycles and not in usages. Currently, the use of legal steroids or human grown hormone by most public safety personnel is by prescription, which most benefit plans cover. This legal use is not the problem. It is the illegal use where someone wants to bench press more or build muscle quickly that is the issue. In speaking with hiring managers from around the state, they would like to see one of the proposed changes approved.

Colonel Silbert made a motion to accept Proposal #2 to establish that an applicant has not used Anabolic Steroids for a period of 3 years prior to submitting application for a sworn position. There are no established number of uses since the age of 21 and never as a sworn officer. Also, the prescription issue is not a factor. The motion was seconded by Sheriff Dannels and passed with one nay vote recorded for Deputy Pittmann.

**Disqualification #5 – Narcotics**

Rule R13-4-105(A.14)(A.16)(B2)

The current disqualification for the use of Narcotics (Cocaine, Heroin, Opiates) is no use within the past 7 years, more than 1 usage after the age of 21 years, or more than 5 times total. Never as a sworn officer. **Proposal #1** is no change to the current disqualification. **Proposal #2** establishes that the applicant has not used any Narcotic Drugs for a period of 3 years prior to submitting application. There are no established limited number of uses since age of 21. Never as a sworn officer. **Proposal #3** establishes that the applicant has not used any Narcotic Drugs for a period of 5 years prior to submitting application. Cannot exceed 10 usages. No specification on age of consumption. Never as a sworn officer.

The Board felt this rule should be left as it is; no changes needed. Mr. LeFevre made a motion to not change the rule on Narcotics. The motion was seconded by Deputy Pittmann and passed unanimously.

**Disqualification #6 – Prescription Medication**

Rule R13-4-105(A.14)(A.16)(B2)

The current disqualification for the use of Prescription Medication for recreational purposes where the active ingredient is a narcotic or dangerous drug will be classified as such. Therefore, no use within the past 7 years, more than 1 usage after the age of 21 years, or more than 5 times total. Never as a sworn officer. **Proposal #1** is no change to the current disqualification. **Proposal #2** establishes that the applicant has not used any Dangerous or Narcotic Drugs for a period of 3 years prior to submitting application. There are no established limited number of uses since age of 21. Never as a sworn officer. **Proposal #3** establishes that the applicant has not used any Narcotic Drugs for a period of 5 years prior to submitting application. Cannot exceed 10 usages. No specification on age of consumption. Never as a sworn officer.

The Board felt this rule should be left as it is; no changes needed. Chief Rodbell made a motion to not change the rule on recreational medication. The motion was seconded by Deputy Pittmann and passed unanimously.

**Disqualification #7 – Adderall (Substantive Policy Statement)**

Rule R13-4-105(A.14)(A.16)(B2)

The current disqualification for the Non-Prescription use of Adderall used to treat ADHD to aid academic performance is permissible twice. A third time in this manner will constitute abuse of a prescription drug and dangerous drug experimentation. **Proposal #1** is no change to the current disqualification. **Proposal #2** establishes that the Substantive Policy Statement is incorporated into the new rules and Adderall is treated as a prescription medication for recreational purposes where the active ingredient is a narcotic or dangerous drug will be classified as such. Never as a sworn officer. **Proposal #3** same as Proposal #2.

Colonel Silbert made a motion to establish that a candidate has not used any Adderall for a period of 3 years prior to submitting application for a sworn position. There are no established limited number of uses since age of 21. Never as a sworn officer. The motion was seconded by Chief Rodbell and passed unanimously.

**Disqualification #8 – CBD (Substantive Policy Statement)** Rule R13-4-105(A.14)(A.16)(B2)

The current disqualification for CBD is the Board does not view the use or possession of over-the-counter products containing CBD as constituting the illegal use or possession of marijuana, a dangerous drug, or a narcotic drug. **Proposal #1** is no change to the current disqualification. **Proposal #2** establishes that the Substantive Policy Statement is incorporated into the new rules. **Proposal #3** same as Proposal #2.

Mr. LeFevre made a motion to eliminate the Substantive Policy Statement on CBD use and incorporate the policy statement in the rule review process. The motion was seconded by Chief Rodbell and passed unanimously.

Deputy Pittmann made a motion for AZPOST to move forward with opening the rules docket. The motion was seconded by Mr. LeFevre and passed unanimously.

**D. Consent Agenda**

Mr. LeFevre made a motion to accept the Consent Agenda, as amended. The motion was seconded by Chief Rodbell and passed unanimously.

The following items were approved:

1. Minutes of the Board meeting held on February 18, 2020.

2. Certification Waivers:

|                     |                             |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Joshua M. McDermott | Gilbert Police Department   |
| Eric J. Dunston     | Payson Police Department    |
| Benjamin C. Wolf    | Flagstaff Police Department |

3. Consent Agreements for Voluntary Relinquishment/Denial of Peace Officer Certification. The following individuals, without admitting to any misconduct, requests the Board accept their request to permanently relinquish their Arizona peace officer certification:

|                    |        |                                  |
|--------------------|--------|----------------------------------|
| Jeffrey D. Lowman  | 19-111 | AZ Game and Fish Department      |
| Timothy F. Baiardi | 19-070 | Phoenix Police Department        |
| Salvatore Loiacono | 19-218 | Phoenix Police Department        |
| Michael S. Mason   | 19-078 | Phoenix Police Department        |
| Aaron F. Brown     | 19-067 | Tohono O’odham Police Department |
| Jeffrey S. Neese   | 19-037 | Mesa Police Department           |
| Aaron C. Hergert   | 19-209 | Peoria Police Department         |
| Joseph R. Jaen     | 19-072 | Tempe Police Department          |

E. Review, Discussion and Possible Action on an Oro Valley Police Department Petition for Waiver pursuant to A.A.C. R13-4-103(G) of the seven-year restriction from A.A.C. R13-4-105(A)(14) for Applicant Austin Grijalva

Assistant Attorney General Mark Brachtl addressed the Board regarding the Oro Valley Police Department petition for waiver pursuant to A.A.C. R13-4-103(G) of the seven-year restriction from A.A.C. R13-4-105(A)(14) for Applicant Austin Grijalva. Applicant Grijalva admitted to consuming an edible marijuana product, one time, in the summer of 2016. Chief Kara Riley, Oro Valley Police Department, addressed the Board to request that the petition be granted.

Sheriff Dannels made a motion to grant the Oro Valley Police Department’s petition for waiver. Based upon the information submitted and pursuant to Rule 13-4-103(G), I move that the Board grant the request for waiver of the seven year restriction under Rule 13-4-105(A)(14). The motion was seconded by Captain Bischoff and passed unanimously.

F. Review, Discussion and Possible Action on a Phoenix Police Department Petition for Waiver pursuant to A.A.C. R13-4-103(G) of the seven-year restriction from A.A.C. R13-4-105(A)(14) for Applicant Madison Mensching

Assistant Attorney General Mark Brachtl addressed the Board regarding the Phoenix Police Department petition for waiver pursuant to A.A.C. R13-4-103(G) of the seven-year restriction from A.A.C. R13-4-105(A)(14) for Applicant Madison Mensching. Applicant Mensching disclosed during the hiring process that when she was 15 years old, she consumed two edible marijuana products. Assistant Chief Sean Connolly, Phoenix Police Department, addressed the Board to request that the petition be granted.

Colonel Silbert made a motion to grant the Phoenix Police Department’s petition for waiver. Based upon the information submitted and pursuant to Rule 13-4-103(G), I move that the Board grant the request for waiver of the seven year restriction under Rule 13-4-105(A)(14). The motion was seconded by Mr. LeFevre and passed unanimously.

G. Review, Discussion and Possible Action on a Cochise County Sheriff's Office Petition for Waiver pursuant to A.A.C. R13-4-103(G) of the seven-year restriction from A.A.C. R13-4-105(A)(14) for Applicant Austin J. Shaw

*Sheriff Dannels recused himself from this case.*

Assistant Attorney General Mark Brachtl addressed the Board regarding the Cochise County Sheriff's Office petition for waiver pursuant to A.A.C. R13-4-103(G) of the seven-year restriction from A.A.C. R13-4-105(A)(14) for Applicant Austin Shaw. Applicant Shaw admitted to consuming an edible marijuana product in March 2017, in Colorado. Commander Thomas Alinen, Cochise County Sheriff's Office, addressed the Board to request that the petition be granted.

Ms. Weisz made a motion to grant the Cochise County Sheriff's Office petition for waiver of the seven year restriction from Rule 13-4-105(A)(14). Based upon the information submitted, I believe the best interests of the law enforcement profession would be served and the public welfare and safety would not be jeopardized by the waiver. The motion was seconded by Chief Rodbell and passed unanimously.

H. Review, Discussion and Possible Action on a Buckeye Police Department Petition for Waiver pursuant to A.A.C. R13-4-103(G) requesting a medical restriction of peace officer certification for Applicant Samuel Favela

*This agenda item was taken out of order.*

Assistant Attorney General Mark Brachtl addressed the Board regarding the Buckeye Police Department petition for waiver pursuant to A.A.C. R13-4-103(G) requesting a medical restriction of peace officer certification for Applicant Samuel Favela. Applicant Favela is retired but is seeking recertification. Chief Larry Hall, Buckeye Police Department, addressed the Board to request that the petition be granted.

Deputy Pittmann made a motion to grant the Buckeye Police Department's petition for waiver. Based upon the information submitted and pursuant to Rule 13-4-103(G), I move that the Board grant a restricted peace officer certification to Samuel Favela. The motion was seconded by Chief Kelly and passed unanimously.

I. Final Action Cases:

1. 19-035 – Jonathan M. Schueller – Maricopa Police Department

The Board considered comments from Compliance Specialist Arlene Heckel, who provided a brief overview of the case. Sheriff Dannels made a motion to accept the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and revoke Mr. Schueller's peace officer certification. The motion was seconded by Chief Rodbell and passed unanimously.

2. 19-201 – Nicholas M. Wood – Pima County Sheriff's Department  
The Board considered comments from Compliance Specialist Steve Jacobs, who provided a brief overview of the case. Ms. Weisz made a motion to accept the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and close this case with no action with agency discretion. The motion was seconded by Chief Rodbell and passed unanimously.
3. 19-025 - Cristi V. Porras – Tucson Police Department  
The Board considered comments from Compliance Specialist Lori Wait, who provided a brief overview of the case. Chief Kelly made a motion to accept the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and revoke Ms. Porras' peace officer certification. The motion was seconded by Sheriff Dannels and passed unanimously.
4. 20-003 – Daniel S. Bernstein – AK-Chin Tribal Police Department  
*This case was taken out of order.*  
The Board considered comments from Compliance Specialist William Caldwell, who provided a brief overview of the case and from Mr. Bernstein (via telephone). Sheriff Dannels made a motion to accept the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and revoke Mr. Bernstein's peace officer certification. The motion was seconded by Chief Rodbell and passed unanimously.

J. New Charging Cases:

1. 19-210 – Amaury Fernandez Jr. – Peoria Police Department  
The Board considered comments from Compliance Specialist William Caldwell, who provided a brief overview of the case. Chief Rodbell made a motion to Initiate Proceedings against Mr. Fernandez's peace officer certification. The motion was seconded by Chief Kelly and passed unanimously.
2. 19-186 – William M. Abbiatti – Mesa Police Department  
*Deputy Pittmann and Chief Rodbell recused themselves from this case.* The Board considered comments from Compliance Specialist Amanda Faust, who provided a brief overview of the case; a short video was also presented. Ms. Kelly made a motion to Initiate Proceedings against Mr. Abbiatti's peace officer certification. The motion was seconded by Mr. Ahler (AAG) and passed with one nay vote recorded for Colonel Silbert.
3. 19-188 – John L. Santiago – Mesa Police Department  
*Chief Rodbell recused himself from this case.* The Board considered comments from Compliance Specialist Amanda Faust, who provided a brief overview of the case; a short video was also presented. Mr. Ahler made a motion to Initiate Proceedings against Mr. Santiago's peace officer certification. The motion was seconded by Ms. Weisz and passed with one nay vote recorded for Colonel Silbert.
4. 19-187 – Daniel M. Glover – Mesa Police Department  
*Chief Rodbell recused himself from this case.* The Board considered comments from Compliance Specialist Amanda Faust, who provided a brief overview of the case; a short video was also presented. Chief Kelly made a motion to Initiate Proceedings against Mr.

Glover's peace officer certification. The motion was seconded by Ms. Kelly and passed with one nay vote recorded for Colonel Silbert.

5. 19-219 – Stephen D. Laconte Jr. – AZ Department of Public Safety  
*Colonel Silbert recused himself from this case.* The Board considered comments from Compliance Specialist Steve Jacobs, who provided a brief overview of the case. Sheriff Dannels made a motion to Initiate Proceedings against Mr. Laconte's peace officer certification. The motion was seconded by Captain Bischoff and passed unanimously.
6. 19-202 – Jason L. Ryan – Tucson Airport Authority Police Department  
The Board considered comments from Compliance Specialist Steve Jacobs, who provided a brief overview of the case. Chief Rodbell made a motion to Initiate Proceedings against Mr. Ryan's peace officer certification. The motion was seconded by Chief Kelly and passed unanimously.
7. 19-110 – Pedro Aguila-Muniz – AZ Department of Public Safety  
This case was tabled.
8. 20-035 – Brannon R. Eagar – Apache County Sheriff's Office  
*Colonel Silbert, Sheriff Dannels, Deputy Pittmann and Sheriff Mascher recused themselves from this case.* Chief Rodbell was acting chair. The Board considered comments from Compliance Specialist Steve Jacobs, who provided a brief overview of the case. Mr. Ahler (AAG) made a motion to Initiate Proceedings against Mr. Eagar's peace officer certification. The motion was seconded by Captain Bischoff and passed unanimously.
9. 20-017 – David B. Hornung – Gila County Sheriff's Office  
The Board considered comments from Compliance Specialist Steve Jacobs, who provided a brief overview of the case. Sheriff Dannels made a motion to close this case with no action with agency discretion. The motion was seconded by Chief Rodbell and passed unanimously.
10. 20-010 – Robert K. Martin – Gilbert Police Department  
The Board considered comments from Compliance Specialist Steve Jacobs, who provided a brief overview of the case. Colonel Silbert made a motion to Initiate Proceedings against Mr. Martin's peace officer certification. The motion was seconded by Captain Bischoff and passed unanimously.
11. 19-232 – Michael D. Williams – Peoria Police Department  
The Board considered comments from Compliance Specialist David Toporek, who provided a brief overview of the case. Chief Kelly made a motion to close this case with no action with agency discretion. The motion was seconded by Mr. Ahler (AAG) and passed unanimously.

K. Future Agenda Items

Next month we hope to bring all the rule proposals that we would like to move forward with. Today we will open a rules docket based on the Board's direction.

L. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 1:18 p.m.